UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT NEW YORK

TERRE (V. D. DEL V.C.)

JEREMY F. DELUCA,

Plaintiff, 08 Civ. 1699 (PKL)

- against -

ACCESS IT GROUP, INC.,

Defendant.

I, David B. Hark, declare the following to be true under penalty of perjury.

- I am the President of AccessIT Group, Inc. ("AccessIT"). I submit this
  declaration in support of AccessIT's motion to dismiss. I have personal knowledge of the facts
  herein.
- 2. AccessIT is in the business of selling information technology security and infrastructure technologies. AccessIT also provides comprehensive technology risk management services. AccessIT is not a manufacturer and does not manufacture any of the products it sells.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a copy of the Civil Cover Sheet, Complaint and Rule 7.1 Statement AccessIT received on or about March 4, 2008. AccessIT did not receive a summons on March 4, 2008 when it received the complaint in this action, and AccessIT has never been served with a summons in this action.

Dated: May 29, 2008

David B. Hark

# **EXHIBIT A**

## CIVIL COVER SHEET 08 CV 1699

The 16-44 civil sayar shoot and the information contained bareis hether applease not supplement the filing and service of pleasings or white papers as required by two, patroit as provided by local rules of cours. This form, approved by the Juddigs Contenence of the United States in September 1874, is required for the eight of Court for the perposes of initialing the shift doubt t shoet.

PLAINTIFFS JEREMY F. 1	DEFTICA		DEFENDANTS ACCES	S.IT GROUP INC.	,
ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAMES SHEPS LAW GROUP 35 Pinelawn Rd., Sull CAUSE OF ACTION (che Plaintiff files this action the plaintiff by p	P. P.C. te 106 East THE U.S. CIVE STATUTE II on in accordance wit	NOER WHICH YOU ARE FA	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOW ME AND WRITE A BREFS 2 In connection to Ir	,	improperty withheld
Has this or a similar case	been praviously filed in Si	DNY at any time? Note:	Yes? D Judge Previ	xiely Assigned	
If yes, was this case Vol	☐ Invol. ☐ Diamesad.	No Yes C If yes,	give date	& Case No.	
(PLACE AN [x] IN ONE B	OX ONLY)	NATURE	OF SUIT		
				THOME UNDER EYATUTES	
	TÖRYÜ		PORFERUREP BOALTY	SANGERS	OTHER STATUTES
GONTRACT    110 INSURANCE   120 MARANE   120 MARANE   120 MEGOTIALE   130 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT E ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT   151 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERANTS REMERTING   152 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERANTS REMERTING   153 RECOVERY OF   153 STOCKHOLODES SLITS   150 OTHER CONTRACT   156 STOCKHOLODES SLITS   150 OTHER CONTRACT   150 FRANCHISE  REAL PROPERTY    150 LANCHISE   152 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT   1240 TORTS TO LAND   1250 ALL GITHER   1250 ALL GITHER   1250 ALL GITHER	ACTIONS UNIDER STATUTES  CML RIGHTS  [ 441 VOTING	FERRICIAL INJURY  [ 1:32   PERSONAL MURLY- MED MALPRACTICE [ 1:35   PERSONAL MURLY PRODUCT LUBBLITY  [ 1:35   ASSESTOR PERSONAL MURLY PRODUCT LUBBLITY  PERSONAL PROPERTY  [ 1:37   OTHER FRALD [ 1:37   OTHER FRALD [ 1:38   PROPERTY DAMAGE [ 1:38   PROPERTY DAMAGE   PRODUCT LIABRITY  PRODUCT LIABRITY  PRODUCT LIABRITY  PRODUCT LIABRITY    1:38   MOTIONE TO VACATE SENTENCE   1:30   MANCARISE OTHER   1:50   CONE, RIGHTS   1:50   CONE, RIGHTS   1:50   CONE, RIGHTS   1:50   CONE, RIGHTS   1:50   CONE, RIGHTS	1459 MARINE REGS   1800 OCCUPATIONAL SAFETYMEALTH   1000 OTHER   1718 FAR LABOR STANDANC ACT   1720 FAR LABOR ACT   1720 FAR LABOR STANDANC ACT   1720 FAR LABOR FAR LABOR L	I AND TAXES I AND TAXES INC. TAXE	440 BTATE     1400 BTATE     1400 BARTENEST     1400 BARRES & RANDOR & RANDOR & RANDOR & RATERIETO     1400 COMMERCERCE     1400 CORPORTATION     1400 CORPORTATION ACT     1400 CORPORTATION ACT
	A CLASS ACTION			ED TO A CIVEL CASE NOV	N PENDING IN S.D.N.Y.?
UNDER F.R.C.P.:	OTHER	IF SO, STATE:		DOCKET NUI	WBER
Check YES only if demand:	nded in complaint		submit at the time of file	g an explanation of why s	eses are deemed related.

Proceeding		Sta le	) bungt tropp C b Court wad from State	3 Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Rejestated or Reopyned	<u> </u>	Triumierred (rom (Specify District)	<b>□</b> 6	iugalien	Mag Jub	Diversion Intro	il Maraille Sua
PLACE AN X IN C	ONE BOX	ONLY	<b>)</b>		SIB OF JURISO	ICTION	IVERSITY		IF DIVERS CITIZENS (28 USC )	HP BE	LOI	ATE V.
1 U.S. PLAINT	166 LJ 4				NOT A PARTY)					1332, 19	<del>41</del> /	
		ÇI	rizensh	IIP OF PRINCIP	AL PARTIES (F	OR DIV	ERSITY CAS	ses o	NLY)			
(Piace an D	X) in one b	iox, for	Plaintiff an	d one box for Defen	dant)						TĒ	DEF
itren op this st	ATE	P7F [ ] \$	p <b>s</b> f [ ] 1	CITIZEN OR BUBING		PTF DE!	WCORPOI	RATED : Eas IN /	<u>ed</u> PRINGIPAL PL NOTHER SYATE	ACE (	15	į j'6
CITIZEN OF ANOTHE	ER STATE	≱d≉	\$41.3	MCORPORATEO M OF EUSBIESS IN T	PRINCIPAL PLACE NIII STATE	£14-614	FOREIGH	натюч		ا 	1#	1 14
PLAINTIFF(S) A		(ES)	AND COL	JNTY(IES)								
Jeremy F. Delu 83 Monroe Stre Hoboken, NJ 0 (Hudson Count	eet, Apt 17030	4B										
											_	
DEFENDANT(S	) AODRE	SS(E	S) AND C	OUNTY(IES)			<del></del>					
ACCESS IT G												
900 East 8th A Suite 300 King of Prussi	a, PA 19											
(Montgomery)												
(Montgemery	<b>~~</b>											
DEFENDANT(S	) ADDRE	HERE	BY MADE	THAT, AT THIS III	ME, I HAVE BEEN TS:	UNABLE,	WITH REASON	ABLE I	RLIGENCE, TO	ASCERT	TAIN	THE
DEFENDANT(S	) ADDRE	HERE	BY MADE	N THAT, AT THIS TH WING DEFENDAN	ME, I HAVE BEEN 178:	UNABLE,	WITH REASON	ABLE I	HLIGENCE, TO	ASCERT	TAIN	THE
DEFENDANT(S	) ADDRE	HERE	BY MADE	THAT, AT THIS III	ME, I NAVE BEEN TS:	UNABLE,	WITH REASON	ABLE I	HLIGENCE, TO	ASCERT	TAIN	THE
DEFENDANT(S REPRESENT RESIDENCE ADS	S) ADDRESSES	HERE SOFT	BY MADE HE FOLLO	THAT, AT THIS III			WITH REASON		FOLEY SC			THE
DEFENDANT(S REPRESENT RESIDENCE ADS	B) ADDRESSES  THIS ACT OD NOT d	FION	SHOULI	THAT, AT THIS TH WING DEFENDAN	D. TO: VETITION)		PLAINS	K	FOLEY SG	UAR		THE
DEFENDANT(S REPRESENT RESIDENCE ADS	B) ADDRESSES  THIS ACT OD NOT d	FION	SHOULI	THAT, AT THIS III WING DEFENDAN  D BE ASSIGNED  THIS PRISONER	D. TO: VETITION)		PLAINS	PRACT	FOLEY SO	DUARE	=======================================	
Check one: To OATE O2/15/08 RECEIPT#	S) ADDRESSES HIS ACTOO NOT d	FION heck a	SHOULD SH	THAT, AT THIS III WING DEFENDAN  D BE ASSIGNED  THIS PRISONER	D. TO: VETITION.)		PLAINS  ADMITTED TO 11 NO 11 NO 12 YES (DATE	PRACT	FOLEY SO	DUARE	=======================================	
DEFENDANT(S REPRESENT RESIDENCE ADS Check one: Ti (C) OATE 02/15/08 RECEIPT#	B) ADDRESSES  HIS ACT OD NOT d  SIG	FION NATURAL NATURA N	SHOULD SH	THAT, AT THIS TO WING DEFENDAN D. BE ASSIGNED THE APRISONER F	O TO: VETITION) RD	VHITE	PLAINS ADMITTED TO 11 NO	PRACT	FOLEY SO	QUARE STRICT	=======================================	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK JEREMY F. DELUCA

AUDGE LEISURE

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

08 CV 169

ACCESS IT GROUP INC.

Defendant.

#### THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff was and is a resident of the State of New Jersey, with a home address of Monroe St Apt. 4B Hoboken, NJ 07030.
- 2. Upon information and belief, the defendant ACCESS IT GROUP INC. (hereinafter "ACCESS IT") is a foreign corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business located at 900 East 8th Avenue, Suite 300, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406.
- 3. Defendant is in the business of hardware and software sales and technical support for the installation, maintenance, and upgrading of approval product line for Information Technology Security and Infrastructure Technologies.
- 4. At all times hereinafter relevant, Defendant conducted substantial business in New York City and operated an office located at 11 Penn Plaza, New York, New York during a portion of the time of said breach.

.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- The jurisdiction of this court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332 as this action 5. is between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and the costs of the action, exceeds the sum of Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars, (\$75,000.00).
- 6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this district and the defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction within this district due to their substantial business contacts.

#### PACTUAL ALLEGATIONS -AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION-

- 7. On or about October 2001, Plaintiff began as a fulltime 1099 sales person working for the defendant.
- 8. On or about February 2003, Plaintiff assumed the title of Regional Manager for defendant's company.
- 9. Plaintiff was to be paid a percentage of the net profits of the defendant's New York operation, and receive reimbursement for certain expenses.
- 10. Beginning in June 2003 defendant unilaterally removes compensation of the Plaintiff, calling said proceeds "Deferred Income".
- On or about September 30, 2006, Plaintiff provides notice of his intention to resign as Regional Manger.
- 12. On or about October 2006, a meeting took place concerning, inter alia, the status of the Deferred Income for the Plaintiff.

- On February 7, 2007, Plaintiff terminated his representation of the defendant's 14. business.
- Plaintiff has demanded that all outstanding commissions in the amount of Seventy-15. Two Thousand Four Hundred Fifty One Dollars (\$72,451.00) be paid.
- Plaintiff has demanded that all deferred income of Forty Five Thousand Dollars (\$45,000.00) be paid.
- Defendant refused to make the outstanding payments to the plaintiff. 17.
- Plaintiff satisfied its obligations under the terms of its agreement. 18.
- Plaintiff satisfactorily performed the terms and conditions of his employment with 19. Defendant through all times hereinafter relevant.
- 20. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff the aforementioned sums which are due and owing at this time and has breached its contract with Plaintiff.
- 21. As a result of Defendant's breach of the parties' agreement, Plaintiff has suffered a significant financial loss and is entitled to recover the following damages: Seventy Two Thousand Four Hundred Fifty One Dollars (\$72,451.00) of his outstanding commissions and deferred income in an amount in excess of Forty Five Thousand Dollars (\$45,000.00).
- Plaintiff has duly demanded payment of Defendant.
- Defendant has failed to make payment. 23.
- As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff demands judgment of Defendant of all 24. proceeds, including interest, expenses and attorneys fees.

- Plaintiff repeats and reiterates the preceding allegations. 25.
- Upon information and belief Plaintiff's employment with Defendant was as a 26. "Commissioned Salesperson" as that term is defined under section 190 of the New York Labor Law.
- Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff wages owed violates Article 6 of the New 27. York Labor Law.
- As a result of the foregoing, under the New York Labor Law Defendant shall be 28. liable to Plaintiff for double damages on Plaintiff's earned commissions and for Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees, court costs and disbursements.
- The total amount of Plaintiff's earned commissions owed by Defendant is in 29. excess of One Hundred Seventeen Thousand Four Hundred Fifty One Dollars (\$117,451.00).
- As a result of the foregoing, Defendant is liable under New York Labor Law to 30. Plaintiff in this cause of action for the amount of One Hundred Seventeen Thousand Pour Hundred Fifty One Dollars (\$117,451.00), double damages, and for Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees, court costs and disbursements.
- As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff demands judgment of Defendant in the 31. amount of Two Hundred Thirty Four Thousand Nine Hundred Two Dollars (\$234,902.00), together with an award of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees, court costs and disbursements.

- Plaintiff repeats and reiterates all prior allegations as set forth more fully here.
- 33. Defendant retention of said commissions and deferred compensation has unjustly enriched the defendant.
- Defendant has no basis for retaining said monies.
- 35. Such sums have been demanded but not returned.
- 36. Defendant has been unjustly enriched by the retention of said money that it has no justifiable basis for withholding.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands that defendant be found responsible for the three aforementioned causes of action, and that this Court award all amounts owed, costs, interest and disbursements as this court deems just and proper

DATED: Melville, New York February 15, 2008

Robert C. Sheps, Esq. (RCS5388)

SHEPS LAW GROUP, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

35 Pinelawn Road, Suite 106 East

Melville, New York 11747

(631) 249-5600

41000

(631) 249-5613 Facsimile

TO:

ACCESS IT GROUP INC. 900 East 8th Avenue, Suite 300 King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Jeremy F. Deluca

Plaintiff,

Access IT Group, Inc.

Defendant,

Case No.:

Rule 7.1 Statement

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 [formerly Local General Rule 1.9] and to enable District Judges and Magistrate Judges of the Court to evaluate possible disqualification or recusal, the undersigned counsel for Jeremy F. Deluca (a private, non-governmental party) certifies that the following are corporate parents, affiliates and/or subsidiaries of said party, which are publicly held.

Date: 02/15/08

Signature of Aftorney

Attorney Bar Code: RCS5388

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT NEW YORK

JEREMY F. DELUCA,

08 Civ. 1699 (PKL)

Plaintiff,

- against -

ACCESS IT GROUP, INC.,

Defendant.

### STATEMENT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 7.1

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.1, defendant AccessIT Group, Inc. ("AccessIT") states that it has no parent corporations, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of the stock of AccessIT.

Dated: New York, New York May 30, 2008

WOLFBLOCK LLP

Jennifer F. Beltrami (jbeltrami@wolfblock.com)

James L. Simpson (jsimpson@wolfblock.com)

250 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10177

(212) 986-1116

Attorneys for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT NEW YORK	-	
JEREMY F. DELUCA,	:X	
Plaintiff,	:	08 Civ. 1817 (PKL)
- against -		CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
ACCESS IT GROUP, INC.,	:	<del></del>
Defendant.	:	
	X	

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of defendant's Notice of Motion to Dismiss, Declaration of David B. Hark dated May 29, 2008, and Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Dismiss, dated May 30, 2008, were served on the following counsel by Federal Express on the 30th day of May, 2008:

Robert C. Sheps, Esq. SHEPS LAW GROUP, P.C. 35 Pinelawn Road, Suite 106 East Melville, New York 11747 (631) 249-5600 Attorneys for Plaintiff

JAYNE PARIS